UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,526	12/08/2006	Richard Spitz	10191/4608	9368
26646 KENYON & K	7590 04/29/201 ¹ ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	HSIEH, HSIN YI		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/575,526	SPITZ ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hsin-Yi (Steven) Hsieh	2811
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Am</u>	<u>endment</u>
(1) <u>Hsin-Yi (Steven) Hsieh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jong Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04/27/2010</u>	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Lynne A. Gurley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2811		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner notified Applicant a noncompliance issue of amendment filed 02/05/2010: The term "and" in the 5th line of claim 1 of the preliminary amendment on 04/10/2006 was removed in the amendment filed on 02/05/2010 without any markup. The attorney was requested to file a supplementary amendment to correct the problem. A notice of noncompliance will be forthcoming.